

Absolute GNSS Antenna Calibration with a Robot: Repeatability, GLONASS and Carrier-to-Noise Pattern

Gerhard Wübbena, Martin Schmitz, Gerald Boettcher

Geo++® GmbH 30827 Garbsen Germany www.geopp.com

Content

Ò.

- introduction
- repeatability of absolute phase variations (PCV)
- calibration of GLONASS PCV
- determination of carrier-to-noise (CN0)
- summary and conclusion

Introduction

- robot calibration
 - GPS phase center and variations (PCV)
- excellent tool to determine additional parameters
 - GLONASS PCV
 - carrier-to-noise (CN0) pattern
 - near-field effects of antenna
 - separation of multipath in near-field and far-field effects
 - absolute multipath with absolute station calibration
- robot calibration determines
 - GPS + GLO L1 and L2 PCV
 - GPS + GLO S1 and S2 PCV





date of PCV calibration robot

- Geo++ 2005-08-08
- Berlin 2006-02-15 2006-01-14
- ife

Repeatability of Phase Variations

Different Robots

- repeatability of absolute PCV antenna calibration with robot
- three GNPCV robots

robot operated Geo++ in Garbsen ife in Hannover Berlin tested in Garbsen

individual ASH700936D_M • antenna calibrated at dates

Institut für Erdmessung, Universität Hannover







Repeatability of Phase Variations



L1 dPCV

- individual ASH700936D_M antenna
- three different robots
- magnitude PCV differences

L1 < 0.5 mm

Elevation Dependent Difference from Type Mean



ASH700936D_M#CR14348, L1 PCV



Repeatability of Phase Variations



L2 dPCV

- individual ASH700936D_M antenna
- three different robots
- magnitude PCV differences
 - L2 < 1 mm

Elevation Dependent Difference from Type Mean

ASH700936D_M#CR14348, L2 PCV





Repeatability of Phase Variations



L0 dPCV

- individual ASH700936D_M antenna
- three different robots
- ionospheric free signal
- magnitude PCV differences
 - L0 < 1 mm above 10 deg

Elevation Dependent Difference from Type Mean

ASH700936D_M#CR14348, L0 PCV





Repeatability Individual Antenna

Repeatability after 2 Years

- geodetic antenna ASH700936D_M SNOW
- differences L0 PCV: average 1-2 mm
- maximum at horizon about 4 mm



Repeatability of Azimuthal PCV



Significance of Azimuthal PCV

- repeatability and standard deviation of azimuthal PCV from robot calibration document stability
- example TPSCR3_GGD CONE
 - choke ring antenna
 - similar PCV pattern as DM-type choke ring antennas
 - type mean consists 132 antennas and 318 calibrations
 - randomly selected individual antenna shows high correlation of 2 mm azimuthal variation
 - remark: also individual differences present

TPSCR3_GGD CONE Antenna Type PCV L0: 132 Ant, 318 Cal



TPSCR3_GGD CONE Individual PCV L0: 1 Ant, 2 Cal



Geo++ GNPCVDB Database

Absolute PCV Type Mean

- type means computed from calibrated antennas performed with robot
- rigorous adjustment using complete variance-covariance matrix of individual calibrations

• April 2006

- about 125 different antenna types 957 individual calibrated antennas 3748 individual calibrations
- public information on PCV pattern (graphics, ARP and North definition, etc)
- selected antennas provided to IGS
- license for use of absolute PCV (actual access to numeric PCV)
- http://gnpcvdb.geopp.de/







Horizontal Offsets

- 5 different brands
- 8 DM-type antennas
- with or without radome not distinguished
- offsets not suited to describe PCV
- however, offsets are also azimuthal PCV
- outliers
- significant changes in model series







Height Offset

- dimension of antenna basically identical
- height offset much weaker than horizontal offsets
- standard deviation over all antennas about 2 mm
- different level for different model types



Current Status

- differences to GPS
 - different frequencies
 - mixture of frequencies used in field calibration
 - for a long time no sufficient constellation
- GPS PCV used for GLO PCV
- GPS PCV representative? / GLONASS calibration necessary?
- frequency dependent calibration possible for GLONASS? / necessary for GLONASS?







20 Elevation [°]

Absolute PCV Robot Calibration

- estimates GLO L1 and L2
 - mixture of observed GLONASS frequencies (constellation)
- frequency dependent GLONASS PCV
 - assumes linearity of PCV changes for GPS/GLO, GLO/GLO frequencies (compliant with Schupler, Clark, GPS World, 200⁻),
 - reference signal GPS L1 and L2
 - estimates Delta PCV per 25.0 MHz
 - easy to handle scaling based on approximate mean difference between GPS and GLO frequencies

(mean both freq. (k = -7 ... 6) $\sim 22 \text{ MHz}$ mean both freq. (k = -7 ... 12) $\sim 24 \text{ MHZ}$)

ASH700936D M SNOW GLO L0 PCV: Mixed GLO Calibration 0,015 0.015 0.010 0.010 0,005 0.005 Ro PCV [m] 0.000 0,000 -0,005 -0.005 -0.010 -0.010 -0.015 -0.015 -0.020 -0.020 100

200

Azimuth [°]

ASH700936D M SNOW

300







PCV Difference between GPS and GLONASS

- current situation GPS PCV == GLO PCV
- k=4 mean frequency channel for current GLONASS constellation
- ASH700936D_M antenna
- simultaneous calibration
- mean difference GPS and GLO L0 PCV
- magnitude PCV differences

L0 mean 2 mm 2 mm 2 mm







Difference between GLONASS frequencies

- GLONASS frequencies beyond 2005 frequency channels k = -7 ... +6
 - largest frequency difference k=-7 and k=6
- ASH700936D_M antenna
- magnitude PCV differences

| L0 mean | > 1 mm |
|---------|--------|
| maximum | 1.5 mm |

ASH700936D_M SNOW Difference_GLO_(k=-7)/GLO_(k=6)





O dPCV [m]



Difference between mixed and frequency dependent calibration

- constellation dependent mix of GLONASS frequencies
- k=4 mean frequency channel for current GLONASS constellation
- ASH700936D_M antenna
- simultaneous calibration
- magnitude PCV differences

| L0 mean | <1 mm |
|---------|-------|
| maximum | 2 mm |

ASH700936D_M SNOW Difference GLO (mix)/GLO (k=4) 0.0020 0.0020 0,0015 0,0015 0.0010 0.0010 0,0005 0.0005 0.0000 0,0000 -0,0005 -0.0005 -0.0010 -0,0010 -0.0015 -0.0015 -0,0020 -0,0020 80 100 60 200 40

300

Azimuth [°]

20

Elevation [°]



TPSCR3_GGD CONE

- similar PCV pattern as ASH700936D_M choke ring antenna
- different constellation during calibration
- high correlation in L0 PCV difference GPS/GLO (k=4)
- supports independently significance and magnitude of PCV differences between GPS and GLO
 ASH700936D_M L0 dPCV GPS/GLO (k=4)





CN0 Robot Calibration

- modeling with spherical harmonics (degree and order as for PCV)
- CN0 for zenith set to zero, hence result is CN0 decrease
- absolute information also stored and accessible
- example

| individual ASH700 | 936D_M SNOW |
|-------------------|-----------------|
| receiver type | L1 CN0 decrease |
| JPS Legacy | 14 dBHz |
| ASHTECH Z-XII3 | 80 units |







How to Use CN0 Decrease Function?

- effective use of CN0 observable requires standardization
 - determination of equipment dependent effects
 - antenna "gain pattern"
 - cable attenuation
 - receiver dependencies (hardware and firmware version, parameter settings)
 - mapping function to convert to dBHz CN0 observable
 - mapping function to get comparable CN0 observable between receivers
 - mapping-function for atmospheric effects (inclusive space loss)
 - calibration of satellites using global observations



Applied CN0 Standardization Procedure

• several conversions and corrections applied to initial CN0 observable

| Affecting CN0 | Abbr. | Correction |
|---------------|-------|---|
| satellite | Sat | ICD GPS "received power function" |
| atmosphere | Atm | ICD GPS "received power function" |
| antenna | Ant | robot calibration |
| cable/wiring | Cab | relative CN0 (CN0 decrease) |
| receiver | Rec | standardization (mapping function related to Ashtech Z-X) |

- standardized CN0 = CN0 - ((Sat + Atm) + Cab + Ant + Rec) = MP + Diff + ε
- multipath and diffraction are maintained
- usable for CN0 based observation weighting

CN0 Differences between Receivers

- conversion signal-to-noise units to dbHz
- significant differences in shape of functions
- cable and receiver setups allow parallel shift of function









Mapping Function

- standardization using Ashtech Z-Xtreme as a reference
 - latest receiver technology during analysis (2004)
 - uses Z-tracking for L2
- 24 h data observed with identical antenna and with different receivers
- example JPS Legacy with different antennas
- polynomial of 3rd degree as a mapping function on ASHTECH Z-X
- goal in graphs is conversion to auxiliary line (gradient 1)





Standardized CN0

- IGS stations with same receiver type
- influence of antenna, satellite and atmosphere corrected
- multipath averaging through number of stations
- deviation from mean value for several receivers
- magnitude of CN0 differences between receivers

L1 CN0 +/- 0.5 dbHz (above 5 deg elevation)

 applying standardization gives improvement in absolute level

Summary and Conclusion

- robot calibration operational procedure since 2000 providing
 - GPS L1 and L2 PCV
 - GLO L1 and L2 PCV, frequency independent Delta PCV
 - GPS & GLO S1 S2 decrease functions
- GNPCVDB database in the future with GNSS PCV
- field calibration of GLONASS PCV possible
 - difference to GPS PCV
 - GLO PCV should be frequency dependent estimated and applied
- CN0 decrease functions available
 - standardization of CN0 is feasible
- IGS ANTEX (Antenna Exchange format) extension suggested



